UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,617	09/29/2004	Pao-Yun Tang	HANP0006USA	5616
27765 7590 04/17/2008 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,617	TANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	(IO OFT TO EVEIDE AS MONTH	((0) 0D THETH (00) DAY(0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u>	nnuarv 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,13 and 16-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,13 and 16-21</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)	"□····-	(770,440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/31/2008 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' amendment dated 01/31/2008 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1, 5, 13 and 16-21 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 13 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art (APA), figures 1-2, in view of Sato, US 2006/0097380 A1.

Regarding the above claims, APA discloses a liquid crystal module (100) comprising a glass substrate (104) having a display area (120) and a peripheral area (130), a gate driver chip (108), a source driver chip (106); wherein the gate/source driver chip(s) are directly mounted on the glass substrate (see figure 2) with an anisotropic conductive film (110).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

APA, however, do not explicitly disclose the thickness of the gate/source driver chip is less than 0.3mm. Sato does disclose a gate/source driver chip (IC chip 9) having a thickness of 0.140mm (paragraph [0067], line 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a gate/source driver chip having a thickness less than 0.3mm as shown by Sato in order to make a portable display since the IC chip thickness can be reduced as thin as less than 0.3mm.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 01/31/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that the Sato's IC chip 9 is bonding by the underfill layer 51 rather than the claimed anisotropic conductive film or non-conductive film as well as the invention glass substrate is different from the Sato's circuit board; therefore, the thickness of the driver chip disclosed in the cited art cannot be applied to the LCD module of the present invention.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's viewpoint. In particular, both the glass substrate and the circuit board can be made by the same material (e.g., glass) for the same supporting purposes. In addition the APA clearly discloses the adhesive layer can be an anisotropic conductive film as well; therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to merely find how to apply such anisotropic conductive film for bonding in the APA's device as same as the claimed adhesive layer. In other words, the modification to the APA's device would replace the Sato's IC chip 9 in order to have a thin IC as stated above rather than modify the APA's device with the whole Sato's circuit board having such a thin IC chip thereon..

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Accordingly, the rejection of the above claims stand.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

04/14/2008

/Dung T. Nguyen/

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2871